

GROUND WATER QUALITY IN MAYURBHANJ DISTRICT, ODISHA

Prof. Vijay Shrimali

Department of Chemistry, JITU University, Rajasthan

ABSTRACT

Ground water is an essential and vital component of our life support system. The ground water resources are being utilized for drinking, irrigation and industrial purposes. There is growing concern on deterioration of ground water quality due to geogenic and anthropogenic activities. Groundwater samples were collected from various points in the Mayurbhanj district for determining the its quality and for assessing the level of contamination by analyzing different parameters like cations (Na, K, Ca, and Mg) and anions (Cl, CO₃, HCO₃ and SO₄). The results of the present study indicated that the values of all the parameters are well within the permissible limits. They are found to be suitable for both drinking and agriculture.

Keywords: Groundwater, Hard rock ware geochemistry.

INTRODUCTION

Ground water is an essential and vital component of our life support system. The quality of ground water has undergone a change to an extent that the use of such water could be hazardous. Increase in overall salinity of the ground water and/or presence of high concentrations of fluoride, nitrate, iron, arsenic, total hardness and few toxic metal ions have been noticed in large areas in several states of India. Ground water contains wide varieties of dissolved inorganic chemical constituents in various concentrations as a result of chemical and biochemical interactions between water and the geological materials through which it flows and to a lesser extent because of contribution from the atmosphere and surface water bodies.

Water has been proved the most important component for the mankind to survive. From time immemorial, civilizations have thrived, grew and evolved. It is seen that the ancient civilizations took their root in river valleys. Around these river valleys, all over the world, water proved itself the driving mechanism for the mankind to grow. It is not only the water on the surface, but also groundwater played an important role in the holistic development of ancient societies. Though we have very little knowledge about the uses of groundwater by the ancient civilization, it is quite well known that the present society is completely dependent on groundwater. Groundwater is only a very small component of the total fresh water available on the surface of the earth. Groundwater is not only utilised for the purpose of drinking but also it finds its use in agriculture and industries too. Therefore, it becomes pertinent on the part of the mankind that this resource should be utilised judiciously and carefully. Groundwater is found under various aquifer conditions. It is richly available in porous and permeable sedimentary rocks. Along the course and in alluvial terrains, groundwater exists in small pores of alluvium. If we look at the geology of Odisha, a major part (except the coast) is constituted of crystalline hard rocks. Under such geological conditions, groundwater is generally available in fractured domain as well as in the weathered part of the hard rock terrain. Since the study area is coming under crystalline hard rock terrains, normally the groundwater is found in the fractured and weathered parts of the rock terrain. For its use by society, it is important that its hydrochemistry should be analysed.

Ground water in shallow aquifers is generally suitable for use for different purposes and is mainly of Calcium bicarbonate and mixed type. However, other types of water are also available including Sodium-Chloride water. The quality in deeper aquifers also varies from place to place is generally found suitable for common uses. The main ground water quality problems in India are as follows.

Inland Salinity

Coastal Salinity

Fluoride

Arsenic

Iron

Nitrates

STUDY AREA

The present study has focused on the quality of groundwater in Mayurbhanj District, Odisha. The study area (Fig-1) is bounded by $21^{\circ} 39' 21.6''$ to $21^{\circ} 54' 18''$ N latitude and $85^{\circ} 48' 25.2''$ to $86^{\circ} 21' 28.8''$ E longitude. Mayurbhanj District got separated from Kendujhar District by Baitarani River in the south-west. Geographically, it has occupied an area of 314.76 sq.km. and is located about 221 km North of Bhubaneswar. It falls in series of Survey of India toposheet nos. F45O1, F45O2, F45O6, F45N13. The average elevation of the block is about 389 meters MSL.



Fig-1. Location map of the study area

GEOMORPHOLOGY AND GEOLOGY

Geomorphology of an area strongly affects the occurrence of groundwater. The District is a highland area which occupies some part of the Baitarani River Basin. Denudation hills, plateau, pediplain, structural hills and water bodies are the most dominated landforms in the study area. The eastern part of the block is mostly occupied by structural hills where as plateau and pediplain occupy the western part. The north-eastern part of the block is surrounded by Similipal Reserve Forest. The central part of the district is occupied by Similipal Complex in circular pattern. It is surrounded by intrusive bodies of gabbro, granophyre and pyroxene granite. Three alternative volcano sedimentary bands of ring like structure are found in this complex. These bands indicate sub-marine conditions of origin. The central part of the complex is covered with Amjori sill. Similipal complex is uncomfortably overlain by Singhbhum Granite of Palaeo- Proterozoic age and underlain by Gorumahishani and Older Metamorphic Group of Archean age. Baripada Bed of Miocene age is found in the eastern part of the district, which is a marine continental shelf deposits (Sahu, 2018).

Gabbro, schist, epidiorite, granite, pegmatite and quartzite are considered as the major rock types of the study area. The area has rich sources of hard massive, laminated, biscuit, shaly, lateritic and gruneritic types iron ore minerals. Titaniferous and vanadiferous magnetite deposits are accompanied by gabbro and anorthosite suite of rocks. These are mainly composed of magnetite and ilmenite and some minor minerals of haematite, limonite, rutile, coulwsomite and sulphides. Karanjia block is well known for its china clay deposits of Late Pleistocene to Early Holocene age. Lenticular bodies of copper (chalcopyrite variety) are found in the tail part of the Singhbhum thrust belt. Some amount of tremolite variety of asbestos, kayanite and soapstone are reported from the area (Sahu, 2018).

METHODOLOGY

The groundwater samples were collected from 50 tube-wells of the study area. The samples were collected in clean 1000 ml plastic bottles. The bottles were rinsed before sampling and tightly sealed after collection, and numbered by marker. After collection of the water samples, the samples were preserved and shifted to the laboratory for physico-chemical analysis. Physical parameters like pH, electrical conductance(EC), total dissolved solid (TDS), total hardness as CaCO_3 (TH) and ionic concentrations of calcium(Ca^{2+}), magnesium (Mg^{2+}), sodium(Na^+), potassium(K^+), bicarbonate(HCO_3^-), chloride(Cl^-), sulphate(SO_4^{2-}) were determined in the laboratory and then compared with standard values recommended by World Health Organization(WHO, 1993) and Indian Standards Institution (ISI,1991). pH of the samples were measured using Systronics water analysis kit. Conductivity meter was used for TDS and EC measurement. By volumetric titration procedure,

Ca^+ , Cl^- and HCO_3^- were determined and concentration of Mg^+ is derived by conversion method. Flame photometer was used to determine Na^+ and K^+ ions.

RESULTS

The physical and chemical parameters for the collected samples are shown in Table- 1. These are expressed in mg/l. The negative logarithm of the H^+ ion concentration is known as pH value. The minimum pH value of the study area is 5.78 and that of maximum is 7.59. Average pH value is 6.69. TDS depends on the concentration of minerals, salts, metals, cations and anions in water. The TDS values of the collected samples vary from 25mg/l to 535mg/l, all these values are below max permissible limit as per ISI standards. In natural water, the concentrations of Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} determine the TH value. In my study area, the TH value ranges from 51 mg/l to 420 mg/l. The concentrations of major cations like Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Na^+ and K^+ vary from 230.183 mg/l to 30.024 mg/l, 0.904 mg/l to 189.817 mg/l, 1.73 mg/l to 54.41 mg/l and 0.08 mg/l to 13.50 mg/l respectively and that of anions like Cl^- , HCO_3^- and SO_4^{2-} range from 14.2mg/l to 163.3mg/l, 30mg/l to 230mg/l and 408mg/l to 466mg/l respectively.

DISCUSSION

The chemical contents found in the groundwater are thought to have derived from the weathering of lithology units forming the aquifers and their variation is due to prolonged interaction of groundwater with surrounding rocks and aquifer materials. The resulted analytical values are used to find the suitability of water for drinking and irrigation purposes.

Groundwater for drinking purpose

Drinking water should be free from turbidity, pathogenic bacteria and radioactive minerals, and also colourless, odourless and tasteless. The polluted water is harmful for living beings. Results of analysis are presented in Table- 1 and they are compared with the permissible drinking water standards (Table-2) specified by Indian Standard Specification as per IS: 10500-1993 and WHO international standards(2004).

Table. 1. Water Quality Parameters and their concentrations (in mg/l)

| LOCATION | Ca | Mg | Na | K | HCO_3 | Cl | SO_4 | TDS | P^{H} | TH | %Na |
|------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|----------------|-------|---------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|-------|
| Karanjia (NAC) Word no-11 | 230.18 | 189.82 | 54.41 | 13.50 | 180 | 163.3 | 446 | 535 | 6.69 | 420 | 9.10 |
| Karanjia (NAC) MCL bank | 120.1 | 0.90 | 7.55 | 0.23 | 80 | 35.5 | 442 | 102 | 6.70 | 121 | 5.22 |
| Karanjia (NAC) Bus stand | 50.04 | 29.96 | 8.40 | 0.72 | 70 | 28.4 | 442 | 74 | 6.57 | 80 | 7.18 |
| Sanadeuli | 80.06 | 19.94 | 9.11 | 0.19 | 80 | 28.4 | 456 | 102 | 6.87 | 100 | 6.65 |
| Badadeuli | 70.06 | 29.94 | 12.38 | 0.32 | 90 | 28.4 | 432 | 97 | 6.66 | 100 | 8.40 |
| Bhaunra | 60.05 | 0.95 | 8.41 | 0.53 | 60 | 14.2 | 451 | 63 | 7.0 | 61 | 10.98 |
| Pingu | 60.05 | 29.95 | 9.55 | 0.51 | 50 | 14.2 | 456 | 72 | 6.59 | 90 | 7.28 |
| Pahadbhanga | 80.06 | 0.94 | 13.67 | 0.37 | 50 | 71 | 437 | 92 | 6.22 | 81 | 12.92 |
| Sarangard | 60.05 | 19.95 | 10.48 | 0.42 | 70 | 21.3 | 451 | 79 | 6.79 | 80 | 9.14 |
| Asanbani | 110.09 | 29.91 | 17.75 | 0.33 | 70 | 85.2 | 456 | 175 | 6.37 | 140 | 8.94 |
| Chitraposi | 100.08 | 89.92 | 16.44 | 1.55 | 150 | 35.5 | 442 | 180 | 7.59 | 190 | 5.74 |
| Murgapat | 100.08 | 69.92 | 11.65 | 0.08 | 110 | 42.6 | 451 | 151 | 7.03 | 170 | 4.52 |
| Chadheibhol | 80.06 | 59.94 | 14.68 | 0.26 | 110 | 35.5 | 456 | 140 | 7.36 | 140 | 6.74 |
| Ghosda | 60.05 | 9.95 | 11.47 | 0.25 | 50 | 35.5 | 461 | 68 | 6.60 | 70 | 11.7 |
| Mahadev Deuli | 60.05 | 29.95 | 13.04 | 0.12 | 80 | 21.3 | 466 | 93 | 6.51 | 90 | 9.46 |
| Ghodaghaguri | 50.04 | 9.96 | 7.91 | 0.90 | 50 | 21.3 | 451 | 47 | 6.45 | 60 | 9.97 |
| Rasantala | 130.10 | 49.9 | 8.30 | 2.90 | 90 | 56.8 | 451 | 178 | 6.38 | 180 | 3.95 |
| Mandua | 100.08 | 29.92 | 15.73 | 1.40 | 40 | 71 | 437 | 133 | 6.09 | 130 | 8.81 |
| Kudarsahi | 30.02 | 39.98 | 5.16 | 0.46 | 40 | 21.3 | 456 | 47 | 6.56 | 70 | 4.70 |
| Kadadiha | 70.06 | 10.06 | 1.92 | 0.80 | 50 | 35.5 | 437 | 33 | 6.17 | 60 | 2.35 |
| Kuliposi | 60.05 | 49.95 | 6.61 | 0.73 | 80 | 28.4 | 432 | 79 | 6.43 | 110 | 4.13 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-----|------|-----|-----|------|-----|-------|
| Tanguru sahi | 50.04 | 29.96 | 6.12 | 0.99 | 50 | 28.4 | 446 | 60 | 6.24 | 80 | 5.55 |
| Kalakad | 60.05 | 49.95 | 8.29 | 0.51 | 70 | 35.5 | 456 | 106 | 6.58 | 110 | 5.0 |
| Baliposi | 100.08 | 9.92 | 15.43 | 1.65 | 50 | 71 | 461 | 140 | 6.29 | 110 | 10.94 |
| Kerkera | 80.06 | 49.94 | 11.67 | 0.29 | 90 | 35.5 | 451 | 110 | 6.84 | 130 | 5.98 |
| Badasarei | 60.05 | 59.95 | 9.0 | 1.26 | 70 | 28.4 | 456 | 62 | 6.35 | 120 | 5.07 |
| Chandusahi | 50.04 | 29.96 | 7.32 | 0.08 | 60 | 28.4 | 451 | 60 | 6.75 | 80 | 6.07 |
| Dori | 20.02 | 59.98 | 2.73 | 1.20 | 30 | 28.4 | 446 | 25 | 5.82 | 80 | 2.46 |
| Kath- karanja | 130.1 | 20.10 | 4.69 | 1.06 | 80 | 28.4 | 456 | 98 | 6.48 | 110 | 2.76 |
| Jarali | 90.07 | 9.93 | 6.35 | 0.59 | 80 | 21.3 | 446 | 80 | 6.61 | 100 | 5.20 |
| Nakuda | 100.08 | 59.92 | 5.93 | 1.69 | 100 | 35.5 | 456 | 149 | 6.62 | 160 | 2.95 |
| Tato | 50.04 | 0.96 | 5.33 | 2.81 | 40 | 28.4 | 442 | 35 | 6.14 | 51 | 10.55 |
| Bandhasahi | 40.03 | 19.97 | 4.92 | 3.44 | 40 | 35.5 | 456 | 44 | 5.78 | 60 | 7.66 |
| Badagaon | 70.06 | 59.94 | 5.85 | 0.42 | 90 | 28.4 | 451 | 112 | 6.96 | 130 | 3.05 |
| Sangaon | 90.07 | 69.93 | 7.48 | 1.12 | 120 | 21.3 | 456 | 125 | 7.25 | 160 | 3.34 |
| Ranipat | 90.07 | 59.93 | 20.40 | 20.27 | 110 | 78.1 | 456 | 241 | 6.77 | 150 | 12.98 |
| Sialinai | 110.09 | 19.91 | 4.78 | 0.58 | 110 | 28.4 | 451 | 111 | 6.95 | 130 | 3.03 |
| Batpalasa | 90.07 | 59.93 | 4.10 | 1.03 | 110 | 21.3 | 461 | 119 | 6.69 | 150 | 2.13 |
| Kudarsahi | 110.09 | 79.91 | 7.10 | 0.45 | 170 | 28.4 | 456 | 180 | 7.51 | 190 | 2.59 |
| Patulidih | 110.09 | 29.91 | 3.80 | 0.39 | 110 | 21.3 | 427 | 130 | 6.75 | 140 | 2.16 |
| Hatibari | 170.14 | 129.86 | 5.75 | 0.31 | 230 | 35.5 | 408 | 259 | 7.22 | 300 | 1.33 |
| Kiapanposi | 100.08 | 69.92 | 2.36 | 1.66 | 120 | 21.3 | 451 | 126 | 7.0 | 170 | 1.33 |
| Kia | 120.10 | 29.90 | 1.73 | 0.56 | 110 | 28.4 | 446 | 131 | 6.73 | 150 | 1.05 |
| Bala | 120.10 | 19.90 | 2.36 | 1.19 | 80 | 28.4 | 451 | 123 | 6.42 | 140 | 1.71 |

Table 2: Water Quality Standards of various agencies

| Sl. No. | Parameters | Observed Range of values | ISI Maximum Permissible limits | ICMR Maximum Permissible limits | WHO Guidelines |
|---------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1 | Colour | - | 10 | 25 | |
| 2 | Test | - | Agreeable | Unobjectionable | |
| 3 | Odor | - | Unobjectionable | Unobjectionable | |
| 4 | Turbidity | - | 10 NTU | 25 JTU | |
| 5 | pH | 7.12-8.9 | 6.5 – 8.5 | 6.5 – 9.2 | |
| 6 | TDS (in mg/l) | 595-5448 | 500 | 1500-3000 | |
| 7 | TH (in mg/l) | 110-1250 | 300 | 600 | |
| 8 | Ca (in mg/l) | 3.80-1089 | 75 | 200 | 200 |
| 9 | Mg (in mg/l) | 4.89-400 | 30 | | |
| 10 | Fe (in mg/l) | - | 0.3 | 1.0 | 10 (Total Iron) |
| 11 | Cu (in mg/l) | - | 0.05 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 12 | Mn (in mg/l) | - | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 13 | SO ₄ (in mg/l) | 21.94-643.50 | 150 | 400 | 400 |
| 14 | Cl (in mg/l) | 35.49-3164.97 | 250 | 1000 | 250 |
| 15 | NO ₃ (in mg/l) | - | 45 | 100 | 10 |
| 16 | F (in mg/l) | - | 0.6 – 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 17 | Hg (in mg/l) | - | 0.001 | 0.001 | |
| 18 | Cd (in mg/l) | - | 0.01 | 0.01 | |
| 19 | As (in mg/l) | - | 0.05 | 0.05 | |
| 20 | Cn (in mg/l) | - | 0.05 | 0.05 | |
| 21 | Pb (in mg/l) | - | 0.1 | 0.05 | |
| 22 | Zn (in mg/l) | - | 0.5 | 0.10 | |
| 23 | Cr (in mg/l) | - | 0.05 | | |
| 24 | Na (in mg/l) | 38.31-1931 | - | | 200 |

According to WHO and ISI standards, all the P^H values of the samples are under maximum permissible limit. Davis and De Wiest (1966) categorized the groundwater with TDS < 500mg/l is desirable and 500-1000 mg/l is permissible limit for drinking purpose. In my study area all the samples have TDS below desirable limit except sample no. 1, which is in permissible limit. As per ISI 1993, all the TH values of the study area are under maximum permissible limit.

Groundwater for irrigation purpose

The suitability of groundwater for irrigation purposes are determined by using sodium percentage (Na %), sodium absorption ratio (SAR). The %Na in water is a common parameter to evaluate its suitability for irrigational purposes (Wilcox, 1955). Higher sodium content reduces the permeability of soil and replaces Calcium and Magnesium concentration of clay. The sodium percent (%Na) values are obtained by using the following equation and they are listed in table 1

$$\%Na = \frac{Na+K}{Ca+Mg+Na+K} \times 100$$

Where Na, K, Ca, Mg are in Meq/l. According to Wilcox's (1955) classification (table 3) of groundwater, the study area has excellent groundwater condition for irrigation.

Table 3 Classification of groundwater according to sodium percentage by Wilcox (1955) for irrigation purposes:

| Percentage of sodium | Water quality | Percentage of samples |
|----------------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| <20 | Excellent | 100% |
| 20-40 | Good | 0% |
| 40-60 | Permissible | 0% |
| 60-80 | Doubtful | 0% |
| >80 | Unsuitable | 0% |

CONCLUSION

The groundwater of this area is dominated by Calcium and bicarbonate ions as compared to other major ions. The overall hydrochemistry is suitable for drinking water and agriculture purposes. Therefore, the local villagers should not be worried about the quality of groundwater for the above purposes.

REFERENCES

1. APHA; (1985). Standard methods for examination of water and wastewater. 16th Ed. Washington, D.C.
2. Clesceri, L. S., Greenberg, A. E., & Eaton, A. D. (1998). Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater APHA. AWWA, WEF, Monrovia, USA.
3. Davis, S. N., & De Wiest, R. J. (1966). *Hydrogeology* (Vol. 463). New York: Wiley.
4. World Health Organization; (2004). Guidelines for drinking water quality recommendations, Geneva.
5. DSR (2018) of Mayurbhanj district, Odisha for road metal/building stone/black stone.
6. Indian standard specification 10500, (1993). Guideline for drinking water specification.
7. Sahu, P.C. (2018). Studies on Geology and mineral resources of Mayurbhanj District, Odisha, India. *International Journal for Science and Advance Research in Technology*. 4(1), 351-356.